

St. Louis, MI 63131

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/981,574 | 09/981,574 10/17/2001 Fredrick B. Jedlicka | | DEMA 8152US | 7023 | |
| 7 | 590 06/22/2006 | EXAMINER | | | |
| Polster, Lieder, Woodruff & Lucchesi, L.C. | | | KAUFMAN, JOSEPH A | | |
| formerly Cohn | , Powell & Hind, P.C. | | | | |
| 12412 Powerscourt Drive | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Suite 200 | | | 3754 | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | n No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---------------|--|
| O 665 A A | | 09/981,57 | ' 4 | JEDLICKA ET AL. | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | |
| | | Joseph A. | | 3754 | | |
| The MAILING D | ATE of this communication | appears on the | cover sheet w | vith the correspondence a | ddress | |
| WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spec - Failure to reply within the set | CUTORY PERIOD FOR REGER, FROM THE MAILING vailable under the provisions of 37 CFI he mailing date of this communication fifed above, the maximum statutory pe or extended period for reply will, by strice later than three months after the m. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | G DATE OF THE R 1.136(a). In no even in the control of the control in the control of the control | IS COMMUNI ent, however, may a Il expire SIX (6) MO ication to become A | ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this (BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to c | ommunication(s) filed on 0 | 9 August 2004 | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FI | NAL. 2b) □ 7 | This action is n | on-final. | | | |
| 3) Since this applic | ation is in condition for allo | wance except | for formal mat | ters, prosecution as to the | e merits is | |
| closed in accord | ance with the practice und | er Ex parte Qu | ayle, 1935 C.I | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | are pending in the applicat | tion | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is. 7) ☐ Claim(s) | claim(s) is/are with | drawn from cor | | | | |
| Application Papers | • | | • | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) fi Applicant may not Replacement draw | is objected to by the Exampled on is/are: a) | accepted or b) the drawing(s) b rection is require | e held in abeya ed if the drawing | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119 | • | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment a) All b) Son 1. Certified of 2. Certified of 3. Copies of application | is made of a claim for fore ne * c) None of: opies of the priority docum opies of the priority docum the certified copies of the p n from the International Bur detailed Office action for a | ents have bee ents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rule | n received. n received in A nts have beer e 17.2(a)). | Application No received in this National | Stage | |
| | atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB | | Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTo | O-152) | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) | Offic | e Action Summa | | Part of Paper No./Mail D | Date 04052006 | |

Application/Control Number: 09/981,574 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

1. The IDS filed by applicant has references that require a rejection of the claims.

Prosecution is reopened and the application has been withdrawn from issue.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smeller et al.

Smeller et al. shows a shut off valve 38; inlet 36; chamber 82; outlet 84; valve seat 88; valve element 90; control chamber 102; communication means seen in Figure 4a; main line 58; remote dispensing station at cut-off valve 20; servo line 46; dual passage hose 46, 48, 58; openings 99, 104 and around the valve; and trigger seen in Figure 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,574 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smeller et al.

Smeller et al. has been discussed above, but lacks the various valving structures for the servo line and a device to keep the trigger in an open position. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide either a pinching device or slide valve on the device of Smeller et al. as they are inexpensive and easy ways to control the flow through the servo line. Further, providing a device to keep the trigger open would have been obvious in order to have a steady, continuous dispensing of material without having to manually actuate the device the entire time.

7. Please note, in claim 2, last line, it appears that "sensor" should be "servo".

Conclusion

8. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on 9/17/2002 prompted the new

Application/Control Number: 09/981,574 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Kaufman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4928. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30AM-2PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,574

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 3754

jak

April 5, 2006